#### Acta Cryst. (1961). 14, 1097

A diffusionless UC<sub>2</sub> (cubic) to UC<sub>2</sub> (Tetragonal) Transformation.\* By ROGER CHANG, Atomics International, A Division of North American Aviation, Inc., Canoga Park, California, U.S.A.

(Received 10 April 1961 and in revised form 15 May 1961)

A diffusionless phase transformation between  $MX_2$  (cubic CaF<sub>2</sub> structure) and  $MX_2$  (tetragonal structure) has been reported by Yakel (1958) and by Chang (1960) for TiH<sub>2</sub> and ZrH<sub>2</sub>. The transformation is characterized by cooperative atom movements involving a pure lattice distortion combined with a twinning shear (over a fraction of the crystal) which does not affect the lattice structure but produces a macroscopic change in shape.

This note describes the characteristic features of another diffusionless transformation for UC<sub>2</sub>. The transformation from the high-temperature UC<sub>2</sub> phase ('CaF<sub>2</sub>' structure,  $\dagger a_0 \cong 5.41$  Å at 1820 °C.) to a tetragonal phase (CaC<sub>2</sub> structure,  $a \cong 3.68$ ,  $c \cong 5.88$  Å at 1820 °C.) takes place at 1820 to 1830 °C. (Wilson, 1960), the low-temperature tetragonal phase being composed of twins. Our microstructural studies reveal the following orientation relation-ships between the two phases:

Planes: (001) cubic parallel to (001) tetragonal Directions: [011] cubic parallel to [111] tetragonal

within an experimental error of  $\pm 1^{\circ}$ . Both the habit plane and twinning plane indices cannot be determined with confidence but are believed to correspond to the (101) type planes of the cubic phase.

The requirement of a macroscopic shear for the  $UC_2$  transformation is obvious from the grain-boundary offset shown in Fig. 1 (Chubb & Phillips). The magnitude of macroscopic shear, estimated from the distortion of a



Fig. 1. Uranium—8.5 weight per cent carbon alloy showing grain-boundary offset  $(1000 \times)$ .

\* This work was supported by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

<sup>†</sup> The true crystal structure of the high temperature  $UC_2$  cubic phase is not yet certain. It is quoted by several investigators (see Rough & Bauer, 1958, and Austin, 1959) to have the CaF<sub>2</sub> structure.

straight graphite inclusion across neighboring twins shown in Fig. 2, is about 0.07 to 0.10, corresponding to a shear angle of 4 to  $6^{\circ}$ .



Fig. 2. Uranium—9.0 weight per cent carbon alloy showing distortion of a straight graphite inclusion across neighboring twins  $(1500 \times)$ .

The experimental observations are in agreement with predictions of the phenomenological theories of Wechsler-Lieberman-Read (1953) and of Bowles-MacKenzie (1954) and the prism-matching theory of Bilby-Frank (1960). A macroscopic shear of 0.078 is predicted by the phenomenological theory of W.-L.-R., while the minimum shape deformation criterion of Bilby-Frank predicts a macroscopic shear of 0.07.

The transformation from the UC<sub>2</sub> cubic to UC<sub>2</sub> tetragonal lattice can be easily visualized if the tetragonal lattice is reindexed by a 45° rotation along the *c* axis so that c' = c and  $a' = a \sqrt{2}$ . The transformation from the UC<sub>2</sub> cubic lattice  $(a_0 \cong 5.41$  Å at 1820 °C.) to the reindexed UC<sub>2</sub> tetragonal lattice  $(a' \cong 5.20, c' \cong 5.88$  Å at 1820 °C.) is then very similar to that of ZrH<sub>2</sub> or TiH<sub>2</sub>.

The shear mechanism suggests possibly that the high temperature structure of UC2 still contains the discrete C<sub>2</sub> groups of the low temperature form. This appears interesting in view of the supposed miscibility of UC and UC<sub>2</sub> above about 1900 °C. (Rough & Bauer, 1958). However, our recent high temperature X-ray diffraction data (unpublished) confirm the co-existence of two cubic UC and UC<sub>2</sub> phases near 2000 °C. Unfortunately, the diffraction patterns obtained at temperatures much above 2000 °C. are inconclusive to tell whether the two cubic phases still co-exist. Chubb & Phillips recently proposed an entirely different phase diagram imposing the complete immiscibility of UC and UC<sub>2</sub> up to the melting temperature based on metallographic evidence of quenched samples (Chubb & Phillips). Furthermore, the shear type transformation does not exclude a possible local rearrangement of the C atoms to permit the formation of C2 groups during transformation to the low temperature phase. It appears, therefore, desirable to redetermine the crystal structure of the high temperature UC, phase by means of X-ray and neutron diffraction. The exact positions of the C atoms in the high temperature UC<sub>2</sub> phase may throw further light on the UC-UC<sub>2</sub> miscibility problem.

# References

- AUSTIN, A. E. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 159.
- BILBY, B. A. & FRANK, F. C. (1960). Acta Met. 8, 239. BOWLES, J. S. & MACKENZIE, J. K. (1954). Acta Met. 2, 129, 224.
- CHANG, R. (1960). J. Nuclear Materials, 2, 335.

- CHUBB, W. & PHILLIPS, W. M. Battelle Memorial Institute Report. To be published.
- ROUGH, F. A. & BAUER, A. A. (1958). Constitutional Diagrams of Uranium and Thorium Alloys, Addison-Wesley.
- WESCHLER, M. S., LIEBERMAN, D. S. & READ, T. A. (1953). Trans. Amer. Inst. Min. (Metall.) Engrs. 197, 1503.
- WILSON, W. B. (1960). J. Amer. Ceram. Soc. 43, 77. YAKEL, JR. H. L. (1958). Acta Cryst. 11, 46.

### Acta Cryst. (1961). 14, 1098

Transitional cryptoperthites. By A. B. MUKHERJEE, Department of Geology and Geophysics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, West Bengal, India

## (Received 22 March 1961)

In course of a study of some photometrically analyzed cryptoperthites and X-ray perthites of the Malani rhyolites of W. Rajasthan, reciprocal lattice angles  $\alpha^*$ and  $\gamma^*$  of the unmixed sodarich phases have been determined from the albite- and pericline-twinned individuals using the b-axis X-ray oscillation method of Smith & Mackenzie (1955).

Table 1 gives the chemical composition and optic axial angles together with the reciprocal lattice angles  $\alpha^*$  and  $\gamma^*$  of the unmixed phases (where they could be measured). To compare these values with those of the natural alkali feldspars of different composition and from different geological environments the values of  $\alpha^*$  of the unmixed soda-rich phases have been plotted against the corresponding values of  $\gamma^*$  (after Mackenzie & Smith, 1955). Each plot has been indexed for correlation with Table 1. In Fig. 1 have also been plotted  $\alpha^*$  and  $\gamma^*$  of orthoclase (monoclinic potash feldspar), maximum microcline (Mackenzie, 1956); two intermediate microclines; hightemperature albite and synthetic feldspars Or<sub>10</sub>Ab<sub>00</sub>, Or<sub>20</sub>Ab<sub>80</sub>, Or<sub>30</sub>Ab<sub>70</sub> and low-temperature albite. Apart from these plots for reference, corresponding positions for the sanidine cryptoperthites and orthoclase microperthites studied by Mackenzie & Smith (Figs. 2 and 3 in Mackenzie & Smith, 1956) are shown by symbols (Fig. 1).

In this diagram positions of the  $\alpha^* - \gamma^*$  plots of the rhyolitic alkali feldspars from Rajasthan show that most of these unmixed feldspars have soda phases which are possibly transitional between the high- and low-temperature modifications of the soda-rich alkali feldspars. This possibility is indicated by optic axial measurements also. The values of the optic angles (Table 1) have been plotted on a slightly modified form of Tuttle's (1952) diagram which shows the relation between optic angle and chemical composition in the alkali feldspar series. It will be seen from the position of the plots in the diagram (Fig. 2) that the examined feldspars have optic axial angles roughly intermediate between those of sanidine-cryptoperthites and orthoclase-microperthites within the same compositional range.

Mackenzie & Smith (1955, 1956) have found that the soda-rich phase of the orthoclase microperthites and sanidine cryptoperthites have reciprocal lattice angles  $\alpha^*$ and  $\gamma^*$  close to those of low-temperature and hightemperature soda-rich feldspars respectively. Tuttle & Keith (1954) have shown that specimens which optically fall intermediate between the orthoclase microperthite

#### Table 1

Sp. no.					Reciprocal lattice angles			
		Composition			Na-phase (Albite twinned)		K-phase	
	Plot no.	Or.	(Ab + An)	$2 V_{\alpha}$	α*	γ*		
M581	1	60.2	39.8	80-82.5	88° 1′	89° 52′		
M586	2	60.8	39.2	$79 \cdot 2 - 83 \cdot 1$	88° 7'	90°		
M562	3	64.2	35.8	48.4	88° 27′	90°		
M2393	4	50.6	49.4	60.5 - 66.0	87° 38'	90°		
M2389	5	42.3	57.7	$80 \cdot 2 - 84 \cdot 3$	86° 40'	89° 50′		
M1808	6	$54 \cdot 2$	45.8	$62 \cdot 5 - 65 \cdot 0$	87° 45'	89° 44'		
M2103	7	59.5	<b>40.5</b>		87° 54'	90°	90° 49′	90° 52
M301	8	59.8	40.2	$74 \cdot 5 - 77 \cdot 2$	87° 57′	89° 50′	10	••••
M2437	9				87° 54'	90°	90° 45′	91° 5′
M2225	10	60.1	39.9	81.1	88° 1′	90°		<b>0</b>
M1849	11	62.7	37.3		88° 1′	90°		
M2307	12	59.6	40.4		88° 3'	90°	91° 3′	90° 9′
M1535	13	49.3	50.7	$76 \cdot 5 - 82 \cdot 3$	87° 48'	90°	••••	
M2147	14				87° 38'	90°	91° 34′	90° 10'
M308	15				87° 22'	89° 42'		